

## e-Learning Discussion Rubric with Points

e-Learning Discussion Rubric					
Criteria			Rating		Points
Quality of Post	Mastery: 35 points	Effective: 30 points	Minimal: 20 points	Unsatisfactory: 0 points	
	Student submits a post that demonstrates a thorough understanding of relevant concepts and correlates to course material. All components of the discussion prompt are addressed.	Student submits a post that demonstrates general comprehension of relevant concepts and correlates to course material. All components of the discussion prompt are addressed.	_	Student does not post, or the answer does not reflect evidence of comprehension of course concepts. There is no evidence of quality in the post.	
Responses to	Mastery: 30 points	Effective: 25 points	Minimal: 15 points	Unsatisfactory: 0 points	
Peers	Responses to several peers are well developed and relevant. Multiple points from peers are clearly built upon/refuted in postings.	Responses to several peers offer some analysis but may need to offer additional information. At least one point from multiple peers was clearly built upon/refuted in postings.		Student does not respond to posts. No evidence that any other postings have been read.	
Timeliness	Mastery: 20 points	Effective: 15 points	Minimal: 10 points	Unsatisfactory: 0 points	
and Frequency of Engagement	Student submits initial post on time and is active on the discussion board at least three days out of the week.	Student submits initial post on time and is active on the discussion board at least two days out of the week.	and is active on the discussion	Student does not post by the module end date.	
Writing Mechanics	Mastery: 15 points	Effective: 10 points	Minimal: 5 points	Unsatisfactory: 0 points	
	Writing is clear with no grammatical errors and maintains an academic structure; adheres to APA or MLA format for citations and references.	Writing may need some improvement; some sentences are confusing or contain a few grammatical errors. Some small errors in MLA or APA documentation may be present.	and/or lack clarity. APA or MLA documentation may have	Writing may be plagiarized (ex: citations, quotation marks, and/or reference entries are missing where they are needed). Writing may contain an unacceptable pattern of sentence-level errors with or without plagiarism, and it may lack an academic structure.	